

Understand that valid statistical conclusions are based on randomly selected, representative samples of the population. That is to say, if the sample is not representative of the population, then all bets are off.

Understand the caveats that statistical conclusions are based on the sample data and the level of significance. That is to say, if we were to use a different sample and/or a different level of significance, then we might arrive at a different conclusion regarding the hypotheses.

Know the definition and application of *p-values* (it will not be necessary to calculate p-values).

Use the definition in Montgomery and Runger, Glossary, 4ed & 5ed, page 758.

Explain the difference between *Statistical Significance* and *Practical Significance*

Montgomery and Runger, 4ed, Section 9-1.6, page 302, 5ed, Section 9-1.6, page 296.

Define *Type I Error*, *Type II Error*, *Power of the Test*.

Describe the effect of changing the *Level of Significance* has on the *Probability of Type I & Type II Errors*.

Refer to General Rules for Hypothesis Testing (M&R, Section 9-1.6, 4ed, page 301, 5ed page 296).

For any problems dealing with hypothesis testing: you must be able to correctly

state both the null hypothesis and the alternate hypothesis;

determine the appropriate critical value(s);

calculate the pertinent test statistic;

decide whether to "Reject the Null" or to "Fail to Reject the Null";

draw a proper conclusion about the problem specifics based on your decision regarding the null hypothesis.

Remember "Reject the Null" is a strong conclusion.

In general, "Fail to Reject the Null" is at its best, a suggestion that there is

insufficient evidence to warrant rejecting the H_0 , or equivalently, *insufficient evidence to warrant accepting H_1* .

One- Sample Hypothesis Testing examples of conclusions in cases where we failed to reject the null hypothesis:

if $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$, then an appropriate statement would be "insufficient evidence to say $\mu \neq \mu_0$ ".

if $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$, then an appropriate statement would be "insufficient evidence to say $\mu < \mu_0$ ".

if $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$, then an appropriate statement would be "insufficient evidence to say $\mu > \mu_0$ ".

Two-Sample and More Than Two-Sample Hypothesis Testing examples are similar.

Be mindful that hypotheses are assumptions about population parameters and that conclusions are not facts but only suppositions with some associated degree of confidence. We can never know whether the null hypothesis is true or false and therefore we risk encountering either a Type I or a Type II Error regardless of how carefully we conducted the experiment and how diligently we collected the data.

Be able to apply hypothesis testing for **One Sample** and both **Dependent and Independent Two-Sample Testing**.

One Sample

Two Sample Dependent

Paired *t*-test (same subjects measured twice {Example: *Pre & Post Testing* and *Different Instruments* }).

Two Sample Independent

Population Means ($H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$) for both cases where population variances are known and unknown.

Use a **One-Way ANOVA** table to determine whether or not there is a statistically significant difference among means for a multi-level single-factor experiment. In the case where we rejected the null hypothesis, the proper statement for the conclusion would be "At least one sample (or equivalently, one level of treatment) is significantly different".

Note: For the test, you will be given the sample size, mean, and standard deviation as needed;

you will not be required to calculate these sample statistics, nor will you be required to determine any p-values.

The test will be open notes, you may use your own generated notes and all course handouts, appropriate tables from the textbook as needed. You must have your own notes and tables, no sharing!

Practice Review Problems: (Use $\alpha = 5\%$ for all problems. It is not necessary to calculate any p-values.)

- Homework #8 One Sample Hypothesis Testing
- Homework #9 Two Sample Hypothesis Testing
- Homework #10 One-Way ANOVA

Forming the Null Hypothesis Quiz

Quizzes # 16 - 20 (see page 3) & Quizzes # 21 - 23 (copies not provided in these review notes)

- State the Claim
- State the Null Hypothesis
- State the Alternate Hypothesis
- Type of Test, Number of Tails, Degrees of Freedom
- Determine Critical Value
- Calculate Test Value
- Conclusion Regarding the Null Hypothesis
- Conclusion Regarding the Alternate Hypothesis
- Conclusion Regarding the Claim

Example Problems from Previous Exams (see pages 4 & 5)

- State the Claim
- State the Null Hypothesis
- State the Alternate Hypothesis
- Type of Test, Number of Tails, Degrees of Freedom
- Determine Critical Value
- Calculate Test Value
- Conclusion Regarding the Null Hypothesis
- Conclusion Regarding the Alternate Hypothesis
- Conclusion Regarding the Claim

16. The labeling for a certain product claims that the average amount of calories per serving is 180.

A consumer testing laboratory reported the following results:

Sample Size = 36 servings

Sample Mean = 175 calories

Sample Standard Deviation = 12 calories

Does the sample data support or refute the advertising claim at the 5% level of significance?

Note: Consumer advocates are concerned if the calorie count is either too low or too high.

17. Suppose the design goal for a human-computer interface specifies that the average response time should be less than 1.40 seconds. During usability testing, the average response time for 25 subjects was 1.52 seconds with a sample standard deviation of 0.4 seconds. Using this sample data (and a level of significance of 0.05), is there sufficient evidence to say that the system meets the interface design goal for average response time?

18. Two different manufacturing facilities produce widgets to the same design specification. A quality control inspection of randomly selected parts provided the following data for a critical measurement.

Is there a statistically significant difference (at $\alpha = 5\%$) for the two facilities?

Note: Assume equal population variances (Pooled Variance $S_p = 2.66$)

Sample Facility One

Size = 8

Mean = 92.3

Stan Dev = 2.4

Sample Facility Two

Size = 8

Mean = 95.7

Stan Dev = 2.9

19. Twenty-four teenagers between the ages of 13 and 17 participated in a two month study to evaluate the effects of peer mentoring with respect to scores on a standardized attitude response battery. The average differences in scores before the study period as compared to after the study period was 27 points, with a sample standard deviation of the difference of 18.8 points.

Based on the sample data, did peer mentoring significantly effect the teenagers' attitude response scores?

Note: Use a two-tailed test, $\alpha = 5\%$

20. Given the partial ANOVA Table, determine whether or not there a significant difference (at a 5% level of significance) between types of coatings with respect to conductivity?

An electronics engineer is interested in the effect on tube conductivity of six different types of coating for cathode ray tubes to be used in a telecommunications display system. The following conductivity data was reported. Is there a significant difference (at a 5% level of significance) between types of coatings with respect to conductivity?

ANOVA Table

Source	Sum Squares	df	Mean Sum Squares	F test
Coatings	2423.7	_____	_____	_____
Error	_____	_____	_____	
Total	3276.8			

One Sample Hypothesis Testing

The specified amount of the active ingredient for an over-the-counter analgesic is 485 milligrams.

An assay of 36 randomly sampled tablets averaged 487.4 milligrams, with a sample standard deviation of 6.7 milligrams. Based on the sample data, does the over-the-counter drug meet specifications?

The package label for 3/4 inch nylon rope states that the average breaking strength exceeds 5000 pounds.

A safety expert used a sample of 100 different pieces of rope and calculated the average breaking strength to be 5045 pounds with a sample standard deviation of 245 pounds. What can you conclude about the manufacturer's statement regarding the average breaking strength, does the sample data provide strong statistical evidence that the average breaking strength exceeds 5000 pounds?

The brightness of an LCD screen is in some aspects determined by illumination current. The design for a certain level of brightness specifies an average current of 300 milliamps. Twenty sample circuits were measured and the average current was 318 milliamps with a sample standard deviation of 42 milliamps. Note: If the average illumination current is either too low or too high, the LCD brightness will be adversely affected. Based on the sample average illumination current, does the circuit meet specifications for average current of 300 milliamps?

The average tensile strength for a safety break-away link is specified to be less than 2400 pounds.

A safety expert used a sample of 25 different links and calculated the average break-away strength to be 2385 pounds with a sample standard deviation of 40 pounds. What can you conclude about the manufacturer's statement regarding the average break-away strength, does the sample data provide strong statistical evidence that the average break-away strength is less than 2400?

Two Sample Hypothesis Testing

Two different analytical procedures were used to determine the impurity levels in well water.

Fifteen specimens were tested using each procedure. The data is shown in the table below.

The average difference between the two procedures is 26.87, with a standard deviation of the differences of 19.04.

Based on the sample data, is there sufficient evidence to conclude that the procedures produced significantly different results? Note: Use a two-tailed test, $\alpha = 5\%$.

Specimen	Procedure 1	Procedure 2	Difference
1	265	229	36
2	240	231	9
3	258	227	31
4	295	240	55
5	251	238	13
6	245	241	4
7	287	234	53
8	314	256	58
9	260	247	13
10	279	239	40
11	283	246	37
12	240	218	22
13	238	219	19
14	225	226	-1
15	247	233	14

Test the data from two independent samples to determine whether or not their means are significantly different.

Assume equal variances; use Level of Significance $\alpha = 0.05$

$$\bar{X}_1 = 91.0$$

$$s_1 = 8.74$$

$$n_1 = 10$$

$$\bar{X}_2 = 92.2$$

$$s_2 = 12.2$$

$$n_2 = 10$$

$$S_p = 3.42$$

ANOVA

Suppose as a newly hired engineer (albeit whether you might be a biomedical, human factors, or industrial systems, or electrical, or mechanical, or even a computer science/engineering) your supervisor at a major pharmaceutical facility has asked that you investigate whether or not a series of formulating machines are generating equal outputs of product per hour. Data from five different machines are taken at random to be processed by a statistical software program. As sometimes happens, the computer crashes before completing the calculations. Wanting to impress your boss, you continue the analysis, using the partial computer output and determine whether or not the machines produce equal output of product per hour ($\alpha = 5\%$).

Machine	Output of Product in Pounds per Hour				
1	242	246	254	242	228
2	246	252	243	244	216
3	246	234	237	216	241
4	224	234	241	233	229
5	242	226	242	222	241

Partial Computer ANOVA Results

Source	Sum Squares	df	Mean Sum Squares	F _{test}
Machines	365	_____	_____	_____
Error	_____	_____	_____	
Total	2565			