


Chapter 4

Greedy Algorithms




Slides by Kevin Wayne.
Copyright © 2005 Pearson-Addison Wesley.
All rights reserved.
Updated by T. K. Prasad


4.5 Minimum Spanning Tree

Minimum Spanning Tree

Minimum spanning tree. Given a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ with real-valued edge costs c_e , an MST is a subset of edges $T \subseteq E$ such that T is a spanning tree whose sum of edge costs is minimum.



$G = (V, E)$



$T, \sum_{e \in T} c_e = 50$

Cayley's Theorem. There are n^{n-2} spanning trees of K_n .

Applications

MST is a fundamental problem with diverse applications.

- n **Network design.**

- telephone, electrical, hydraulic, TV cable, computer, road

- n **Approximation algorithms for NP-hard problems.**

- traveling salesperson problem, Steiner tree

- n **Indirect applications.**

- max bottleneck paths
- LDPC codes for error correction
- image registration with Renyi entropy
- learning salient features for real-time face verification
- reducing data storage in sequencing amino acids in a protein
- model locality of particle interactions in turbulent fluid flows
- autoconfig protocol for Ethernet bridging to avoid cycles in a network

- n **Cluster analysis.**

Greedy Algorithms

Kruskal's algorithm. Start with $T = \phi$. Consider edges in **ascending** order of cost. **Insert edge e in T unless** doing so would **create a cycle**.


Reverse-Delete algorithm. Start with $T = E$. Consider edges in **descending** order of cost. **Delete edge e from T unless** doing so would **disconnect T** .

Prim's algorithm. Start with **some root node s** and greedily grow a tree T from s outward. At each step, **add the cheapest edge e to T** that has **exactly one endpoint in T** .

Remark. All three algorithms produce an MST.


Cycles and Cuts

Cycle. A sequence of nodes and edges without repetitions other than the starting and ending nodes.



Cycle $C = \{ 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5, 5-6, 6-1 \}$


Cut/Cutset. A cut is a partition of nodes into two nonempty subsets S and $V-S$. The corresponding cutset D is the subset of edges with exactly one endpoint in S .



Cut $S = \{ 4, 5, 8 \}$
Cutset $D = \{ 5-6, 5-7, 3-4, 3-5, 7-8 \}$


Cycle-Cutset Intersection

Claim. A cycle and a cutset intersect in an **even** number of edges.



Cycle $C = \{1-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5, 5-6, 6-1\}$
Cutset $D = \{3-4, 3-5, 5-6, 5-7, 7-8\}$
Intersection $= \{3-4, 5-6\}$


Pf. (by picture)



Spanning tree properties

Proposition. Let $T = (V, F)$ be a subgraph of $G = (V, E)$. TFAE:

- T is a spanning tree of G .
- T is acyclic and connected.
- T is connected and has $n - 1$ edges.
- T is acyclic and has $n - 1$ edges.
- T is minimally connected: removal of any edge disconnects it.
- T is maximally acyclic: addition of any edge creates a cycle.
- T has a unique simple path between every pair of nodes.




$T = (V, F)$

Greedy Algorithm - Local properties


Simplifying assumption. All edge costs c_e are distinct.
(For Determinism)

Cut property. Let S be any subset of nodes, and let e be the min. cost edge with exactly one endpoint in S . Then the MST must contain e .

Cycle property. Let C be any cycle, and let f be the max. cost edge belonging to C . Then the MST does not contain f .



e is in the MST



f is not in the MST


Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs c_e are distinct.

Cut property. Let S be any subset of nodes, and let e be the min. cost edge with exactly one endpoint in S . Then the MST T^* must contain e .

Pf. (exchange argument)

- Suppose e does not belong to MST T^* .
- Adding e to T^* creates a cycle C in T^* .
- Edge e is both in the cycle C and in the cutset D corresponding to $S \Rightarrow$ there exists another edge, say f , that is in both C and D .
- $T' = T^* \cup \{e\} - \{f\}$ is also a spanning tree.
- Since $c_e < c_f$, $\text{cost}(T') < \text{cost}(T^*)$.
- This is a contradiction. $\blacksquare$




Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs c_e are distinct.

Cycle property. Let C be any cycle in G , and let f be the max. cost edge belonging to C . Then the MST T^* does not contain f .

Pf. (exchange argument)


- Suppose f belongs to T^* .
- Deleting f from T^* creates a cut S in T^* .
- Edge f is both in the cycle C and in the cutset D corresponding to $S \Rightarrow$ there exists another edge, say e , that is in both C and D .
- $T' = T^* \cup \{e\} - \{f\}$ is also a spanning tree.
- Since $c_e < c_f$, $\text{cost}(T') < \text{cost}(T^*)$.
- This is a contradiction. $\blacksquare$



Prim's Algorithm: Proof of Correctness

Prim's algorithm. [Jarník 1930, Dijkstra 1957, Prim 1959]

- Initialize $S = \{\text{any_node}\}$.
- Apply **cut property** to S .
- **Add min. cost edge in cutset** corresponding to S to tree T , and add one new explored node u to S .



Implementation: Prim's Algorithm

Implementation. Use priority queue *a la* Dijkstra's algorithm.


- Maintain set of explored nodes S .
- For each unexplored node v , maintain attachment cost
 $a[v] = \text{cost of cheapest edge of } v \text{ to a node in } S$.
- $O(n^2)$ with an array; $O(m \log n)$ with a binary heap.

```
Prim(G, c) {  
    foreach (v ∈ V) a[v] ← ∞  
    Initialize an empty priority queue Q  
    foreach (v ∈ V) insert v into Q  
    Initialize set of explored nodes S ← ∅  
  
    while (Q is not empty) {  
        u ← delete min element from Q  
        S ← S ∪ { u }  
        foreach (edge e = (u, v) incident on u)  
            if ((v ∉ S) and (ce < a[v]))  
                decrease priority a[v] to ce  
    }  
}
```


Kruskal's Algorithm: Proof of Correctness

Kruskal's algorithm. [Kruskal, 1956]

- Consider edges in ascending order of cost.
- Case 1: If adding e to T creates a cycle, discard e according to cycle property.
- Case 2: Otherwise, insert $e = (u, v)$ into T according to cut property where S = set of nodes in u 's connected component.



Case 1



Case 2

Implementation: Kruskal's Algorithm

Implementation. Use the **union-find** data structure.

- Build set T of edges in the MST.
- Maintain set for each connected component.
- $O(m \log n)$ for sorting and $O(m \alpha(m, n))$ for union-find.

$m \leq n^2 \Rightarrow \log m$ is $O(\log n)$

essentially a constant - inverse Ackermann's function

```
Kruskal(G, c) {  
    Sort edges by weights so that  $c(e_1) \leq c(e_2) \leq \dots \leq c(e_m)$ .  
     $T \leftarrow \phi$   
  
    foreach ( $u \in V$ ) make a set containing singleton  $u$   
  
    for  $i = 1$  to  $m$       are  $u$  and  $v$  in different connected components?  
         $(u, v) = e_i$       ↗  
        if ( $u$  and  $v$  are in different sets) {  
             $T \leftarrow T \cup \{e_i\}$   
            merge the sets containing  $u$  and  $v$   
        }  
        ↖ merge two components  
    return  $T$   
}
```

Asymptotic Analysis of Set Union-Find Algorithm -- Using Amortization Technique

Efficiency of a Good But Not Linear Set Union Algorithm

ROBERT ENDRE TAR JAN

Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery,
Vol 22, No 2, April 1975, pp 215-225

Ackermann's Function $A(m,n)$

$m \backslash n$	0	1	2	3	4	n
0	1	2	3	4	5	$n + 1$
1	2	3	4	5	6	$n + 2 = 2 + (n + 3) - 3$
2	3	5	7	9	11	$2n + 3 = 2 \cdot (n + 3) - 3$
3	5	13	29	61	125	$2^{(n+3)} - 3$
4	13 $= 2^{2^2} - 3$	65533 $= 2^{2^{2^2}} - 3$	$2^{65536} - 3$ $= 2^{2^{2^{2^2}}} - 3$	$2^{2^{65536}} - 3$ $= 2^{2^{2^{2^{2^2}}}} - 3$	$2^{2^{2^{65536}}} - 3$ $= 2^{2^{2^{2^{2^{2^2}}}}} - 3$	$\underbrace{2^{2^{\dots^2}}}_{n+3} - 3$
5	65533 $= 2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 3 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 4 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 5 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 6 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 7 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow (n + 3) - 3$
6	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 3 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 4 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 5 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 6 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 7 - 3$	$2 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow (n + 3) - 3$

Lexicographic Tiebreaking

To remove the assumption that all edge costs are distinct: perturb all edge costs by tiny amounts to break any ties.


Impact. Kruskal and Prim only interact with costs via pairwise comparisons. If perturbations are sufficiently small, MST with perturbed costs is MST with original costs.

↑
e.g., if all edge costs are integers,
perturb cost of edge e_i by i / n^2

Implementation. Can handle arbitrarily small perturbations implicitly by breaking ties lexicographically, according to index.

```
boolean less(i, j) {  
    if      (cost(ei) < cost(ej)) return true  
    else if (cost(ei) > cost(ej)) return false  
    else if (i < j)                  return true  
    else                             return false  
}
```

4.7 Clustering



Outbreak of cholera deaths in London in 1850s.
Reference: Nina Mishra, HP Labs

Clustering

Clustering. Given a set U of n objects labeled $p_1, \dots, p_n$, classify into coherent groups.

↑
photos, documents, micro-organisms

Distance function. Numeric value specifying "closeness" of two objects.

↑
number of corresponding pixels whose intensities differ by some threshold

Fundamental problem. Divide into clusters so that points in different clusters are far apart.

- Routing in mobile ad hoc networks.
- Identify patterns in gene expression.
- Document categorization for web search.
- Similarity searching in medical image databases
- Skycat: cluster 10^9 sky objects into stars, quasars, galaxies.

Clustering of Maximum Spacing


k-clustering. Divide objects into k non-empty groups.

Distance function. Assume it satisfies several natural properties.

- $d(p_i, p_j) = 0$ iff $p_i = p_j$ (identity of indiscernibles)
- $d(p_i, p_j) \geq 0$ (nonnegativity)
- $d(p_i, p_j) = d(p_j, p_i)$ (symmetry)

Spacing. Min. distance between any pair of points in different clusters.

Clustering of maximum spacing. Given an integer k , find a k -clustering of maximum spacing.



Greedy Clustering Algorithm

Single-link k-clustering algorithm.

- Form a graph on the vertex set U , corresponding to n clusters.
- Find the closest pair of objects such that each object is in a different cluster, and add an edge between them.
- Repeat $n-k$ times until there are exactly k clusters.

Key observation. This procedure is precisely Kruskal's algorithm (except we stop when there are k connected components).


Remark. Equivalent to finding an MST and deleting the $k-1$ most expensive edges.

Greedy Clustering Algorithm: Analysis

Theorem. Let C^* denote the clustering $C^*_1, \dots, C^*_k$ formed by deleting the $k-1$ most expensive edges of a MST. C^* is a k -clustering of max spacing.

Pf. Let C denote some other clustering $C_1, \dots, C_k$.

- The spacing of C^* is the length d^* of the $(k-1)^{\text{st}}$ most expensive edge.
- Let p_i, p_j be in the same cluster in C^* , say C^*_r , but different clusters in C , say C_s and C_t .
- Some edge (p, q) on p_i - p_j path in C^*_r spans two different clusters in C .
- All edges on p_i - p_j path have length $\leq d^*$ since Kruskal chose them.
- Spacing of C is $\leq d^*$ since p and q are in different clusters. ▀



Extra Slides

MST Algorithms: Theory

Deterministic comparison based algorithms.

- $O(m \log n)$ [Jarník, Prim, Dijkstra, Kruskal, Boruvka]
- $O(m \log \log n)$. [Cheriton-Tarjan 1976, Yao 1975]
- $O(m \beta(m, n))$. [Fredman-Tarjan 1987]
- $O(m \log \beta(m, n))$. [Gabow-Galil-Spencer-Tarjan 1986]
- $O(m \alpha(m, n))$. [Chazelle 2000]

Holy grail. $O(m)$.

Notable.

- $O(m)$ randomized. [Karger-Klein-Tarjan 1995]
- $O(m)$ verification. [Dixon-Rauch-Tarjan 1992]


Euclidean.

- 2-d: $O(n \log n)$. compute MST of edges in Delaunay
- k-d: $O(k n^2)$. dense Prim

Dendrogram


Dendrogram. Scientific visualization of hypothetical sequence of evolutionary events.

- Leaves = genes.
- Internal nodes = hypothetical ancestors.



Dendrogram of Cancers in Human

Tumors in similar tissues cluster together.



Reference: Botstein & Brown group

■ gene expressed
■ gene not expressed